



Evolution of restorative materials and techniques in dentistry: a scoping review

Assima Guirassy¹  0009-0008-5553-361X
Raquel Teixeira de Sousa¹  0009-0006-7045-4683

¹ Faculty of Health Sciences, Fernando Pessoa University, Porto, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Received 13 May 2026
Accepted 26 May 2026

Keywords:

restorative dental materials
technological evolution
aesthetic dentistry
patient expectations
dental psychology
scoping review

Corresponding Author:

Assima Guirassy; Fernando Pessoa
University - Faculty of Health Sci-
ences, Porto, Portugal;
40906@ufp.edu.pt

DOI: 10.62741/ahrj.v3iSuppl.
2.200

This article is licensed under the
terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0
International License.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Dentistry represents a fusion of science and art, combining technical precision with aesthetic sensitivity. Advances in biomaterials and techniques have evolved in response to patient expectations amid technological and sociocultural change.

Objectives: This scoping review mapped the evolution of dental restorative materials and techniques and analyzed the influence of aesthetic expectations and psychosocial factors on restorative practice.

Methodology: A scoping review followed PRISMA-ScR guidelines using the PCC framework (Population: patients undergoing restorative treatments; Concept: restorative materials and techniques; Context: contemporary dentistry and aesthetic expectations). The research question was: *How have advances in restorative materials and techniques influenced restorative dentistry, and how have evolving aesthetic concepts shaped patients' expectations?* A systematic search of PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, EDP Science, and Cochrane Library (2005–2025) using MeSH terms “Dental Restoration”, “Dental Materials”, “Dental Aesthetics”, “Patient Expectations”, and “Psychosocial Factors”. Eligible studies were full-text articles in English, French, Spanish, or Portuguese. Exclusion criteria included non-relevant topics and non-definitive restorations. Data were synthesized descriptively.

Results: Thirty-three studies were selected, including reviews, clinical studies, laboratory investigations, and patient surveys. The map of restorative material evolution showed a transition from gold and amalgam-based restorations to resin composites, adhesive systems, ceramics, and CAD/CAM and three-dimensional printing technologies. Three themes emerged: technological innovation, increasing aesthetic-driven demand, and psychosocial influences. A consistent association was observed between aesthetic expectations, the development of more biomimetic, customizable restorative materials and techniques.

Conclusion: Restorative dentistry is increasingly shaped by aesthetic and patient-centered demands supported by technological innovation. Limitations include lack of standardized aesthetic evaluation and integration of psychosocial factors.

Contributions: Conceptualization: AG and RTS; Data curation: AG and RTS; Formal Analysis: AG and RTS; Funding acquisition: AG and RTS; Investigation: AG and RTS; Methodology: AG and RTS; Project administration: AG and RTS; Resources: AG and RTS; Software: AG and RTS; Supervision: AG and RTS; Validation: AG and RTS; Visualization: AG and RTS; Writing – original draft: AG and RTS; Writing – review & editing: AG and RTS.

Please cite this article as: Guirassy A, Sousa RT. Evolution of restorative materials and techniques in dentistry: a scoping review. *Athena Health & Research Journal*. 2026; 3(Suppl. 2). doi: 10.62741/ahrj.v3iSuppl. 2.200